



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_5602
Title of the Manuscript:	Generating 3rd Level Association Rules Using Fast Apriori Implementation
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The description for fast implementation of Apriori algorithm is needed. For readers to understand your idea clearly, some example is required for your algorithm. The coding for data item is a good idea, but you have to describe the need for levels.	The paper is corrected according to comments.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Your paper has some typos. (line(L) 41:6However, L45: 75% of people by wheat, L59: in section 5 Results) Algorithm is not clear. (Use standard notation.) The concept of level has to be defined clearly. You have to describe what the need for the 3rd level is. Indentation for the algorithm of data cleaning. What is the trie data structure?	The paper is corrected according to comments.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I can understand your idea conceptually. But I cannot catch your idea clearly.	